

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
 APPELLATE CRIMINAL JURISDICTION
 CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 257 OF 2006
 IN
 CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.2414 OF 2005
 Indur Kartar Chhugani ... Petitioner

versus

The State of Maharashtra & ors .. Respondents

Mr.Naveen Chomal, Advocate for Petitioner

Ms.U.K.Srivastava,Advocate for Respondent nos.10
 to 16

Mr.Pankaj Vijayan for Respondent no. 5

CORAM : J.N.PATEL AND
 SMT.ROSHAN DALVI, JJ
 DATED : 21st August, 2006

ORAL ORDER :

1. While the petition has been restored, learned
 counsel have made rival contentions i.e. the

petitioner claims that he has paid the amount in discharge of the terms of the bid to the bank concerned, whereas learned counsel for the Punjab National Bank submits that the petitioner has sent demand drafts with condition on its appropriation, and therefore the bank has returned the demand drafts to the petitioner which the counsel for the petitioner denies. It is better that learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well as the learned counsel appearing for the bank file their respective affidavits of their clients to clarify the position so that this Court can pass further interim orders.

2. Petition is listed after two weeks in order matters.

(Smt Roshan Dalvi,J)

(J.N.Patel, J)